

Confidential Execution of Deep Learning Inference at the Untrusted Edge with ARM TrustZone

Md Shihabul Islam, Mahmoud Zamani, Chung Hwan Kim, Latifur Khan, Kevin W. Hamlen

ACM Conference on Data and Application Security and Privacy (CODASPY '23), April 24–26, 2023, Charlotte, NC, USA

MOTIVATION

Ubiquitousness of Internet-of-Things (IoT) devices

On-device Machine Learning

- Performance of edge/IoT applications
 - Low bandwidth
 - Reducing communication cost
- Privacy of user data



CHALLENGES

Protection of user data on <u>untrusted</u> and <u>resource-constrained</u> edge/IoT devices

- Model Inversion Attack
- Membership Inference Attack
- > Unfeasible existing techniques for edge/IoT devices
 - ➤ Homomorphic encryption
 - Differential privacy

Solution:

- ✓ Trusted Execution Environment (TEE) for edge/IoT devices
 - ARM TrustZone



ARM TrustZone

ARM: Pioneer in embedded device processors

TrustZone

- Optional hardware security extension
- Ensures the integrity and confidentiality of an application's data on a device
- Two architectures:
 - Cortex-A
 - Cortex-M



(a) TrustZone for Cortex-A

(b) TrustZone for Cortex-M

Fig. 1. TrustZone technology.

ARM TrustZone Limitations

Limitations:

Resource-intensive DL methods
Limited trusted memory and resources in TrustZone

Possible Solutions:

QuantizationModel pruning

But affects model's prediction accuracy



Background

Common Practice: Partitioning

Layer-base Partitioning



Model	# Layers	Pre-trained Model Size (MB)	Peak Mem. Usage (MB)	
LeNet	10	0.2	7	
VGG-7	13	0.3	7	
CIFAR	18	30.7	45	
Tiny	22	4.2	71	
Darknet	16	29.3	88	
Extraction	27	93.8	163	Τοο
Alexnet	14	249.5	272	100
Darknet53	78	159	273	Large!
Inception-v3	145	95.5	448	
Yolov3	107	237	840	
VGG-16	24	528	923	

Typical Trusted Memory \approx 16 MB

□ How to solve?

- □ Run only a few layers in the TrustZone
- Model Inversion Attack
- Membership Inference Attack

Our Contribution



Overview:

- Utilizes ARM TrustZone with <u>limited trusted memory</u> to protect the <u>entire</u> DL execution
- > Does not sacrifice original prediction <u>accuracy</u>

T-Slices

- Partitions DNN layer into smaller independent segments called Slices
- Follows an optimized <u>Memory Management</u> plan with <u>on-demand parameter</u> loading scheme
 - Calculated from Hyperparameters
- <u>Dynamically</u> determines a set of **Slices** based on the available trusted memory buffer in TrustZone



Convolution Operation



Slicing for Convolution Operation



Memory Buffer Size Comparison





Darknet Reference Model

Alexnet Model

Memory Buffer Size Comparison



Peak memory required to execute any convolution/connected layer in different CNN architectures. Trusted memory limit considered as 16 MB.

T-Slices Architecture/Flow



Experimental Setting

Device Configuration

- STM32MP157C-DK2 with Cortex-A7 32-bit and Cortex-M4 32-bit MPUs
- Raspberry Pi 3 Model B (RPi3B)
- > Experiment
 - Image classification with CNN models
 - Compare with Baseline DarkneTZ ¥

Performance Metric

- Trusted Memory Consumption
- Prediction Time Overhead
- Case Studies against prevalent privacy attacks

γ DarkneTZ: towards model privacy at the edge using trusted execution environments, MobiSys 2020

Dataset and Models

Model	# Layers	# Conv. Layers	Dataset	Pre-trained Model Size (MB)
LeNet	10	2	MNIST	0.2
CIFAR_SMALL	12	7	CIFAR10	0.08
VGG-7	13	6	CIFAR10	0.26
VGG-7	13	6	CIFAR100	0.3
CIFAR	18	10	CIFAR10	30.7
TINY DARKNET	22	16	ImageNet1k	4.2
EXTRACTION	27	21	ImageNet1k	93.8
DARKNET REF	16	8	ImageNet1k	29.3
ALEXNET	14	5	ImageNet1k	249.5
INCEPTIONV3	145	94	ImageNet1k	95.5

Trusted Memory Consumption

• T-Slices on average achieves **72%** reduction in peak memory consumption

Model	DarkneTZ per Layer	DarkneTZ* per Layer	T-SLICES per Slice	% Decrease [†]
LENET	7	0.25	0.1	60
VGG-7	7	0.7	0.2	71
CIFAR	45	10.5	1.25	88
TINY DARKNET	71	9.5	5	47
DARKNET REF	88	18.5	6.5	65
EXTRACTION	163	22.6	5.6	75
ALEXNET	272	144	2.75	98
INCEPTIONV3	337	33	9	73

* with on-demand parameter loading scheme

† decrease from DARKNETZ* to T-SLICES

Prediction Time Overhead

• T-Slices on average achieves **29%** improvement in execution time



CNN	Dataset	DARKNETZ*	T-SLICES	% Improvement
LeNet	MNIST	2.44	2.10	14
CIFAR_SMALL	CIFAR10	3.49	3.24	7
VGG-7	CIFAR10	11.93	6.38	47
CIFAR	CIFAR10	608.04	285.07	53
TINY DARKNET	ImageNet1k	874.58	859.34	2
EXTRACTION	ImageNet1k	1244.84	615.56	51
DARKNET REF	ImageNet1k	1175.69	815.55	31
ALEXNET	ImageNet1k	×	1219.31	×
INCEPTIONV3	ImageNet1k	×	1928.41	×

*with on-demand parameter loading

X: Unable to execute due to not enough trusted memory



CNN	Dataset	DARKNETZ*	T-SLICES	% Improvement
LeNet	MNIST	0.092	0.092	0
CIFAR_SMALL	CIFAR10	0.19	0.19	0
VGG-7	CIFAR10	0.309	0.307	1
CIFAR	CIFAR10	30.43	30.26	1
TINY DARKNET	ImageNet1k	14.72	14.71	0
EXTRACTION	ImageNet1k	116.57	116.24	0
DARKNET REF	ImageNet1k	18.81	18.78	0
ALEXNET	ImageNet1k	×	44.19	×
INCEPTIONV3	ImageNet1k	×	468.1	×

*with on-demand parameter loading

X: Unable to execute due to not enough trusted memory

Security Analysis

- Model Inversion Attack [1]
 - Reconstruct/recover the training data or any sensitive attributes from the trained ML model
- Membership Inference Attack [2]
 - Discover whether a given data sample is a part of the training dataset for the trained ML model

[1] Model Inversion Attacks That Exploit Confidence Information and Basic Countermeasures, ACM CCS 2015

[2] Membership inference attacks against machine learning models, IEEE S&P 2017

Limitations & Future Work

Investigate vast DL models unsuitable for memory-constrained edge/IoT devices

□ Peak memory of vgg-16 ~ 923 MB, Yolov3 ~ 840 MB

□ Parallel processing using multiple TZ devices

□ Investigate other DL architectures (RNNs)

□ Investigate the capability of side-channel attacks on T-Slices



Thank you

Contact information

md.shihabul.islam@utdallas.edu